

1 Lenore L. Albert, Esq. SBN 210876
2 LAW OFFICES OF LENORE ALBERT
3 7755 Center Avenue, Suite #1100
4 Huntington Beach, CA 92647
5 Telephone (714) 372-2264
6 Facsimile (419) 831-3376
7 Email: lenorealbert@msn.com

8 Attorney for plaintiff Dr. Nira Woods

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

OCT 16 2015

RECEIVED
OCT 14 2015
SOUTHWEST DISTRICT

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
By P. Schultz Deputy

9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

11 DR. NIRA WOODS,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.

14 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
15 CALIFORNIA; et al.

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. YC 066972

Assigned to the:
Honorable Stuart Rice
Dept. B

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT

17
18
19
20
21 This action came on regularly for trial on September 15, 2015, in Department B of the Los
22 Angeles County Superior Court, Torrance Courthouse, the Hon. Stuart Rice Judge presiding. The
23 Plaintiff, Dr. Nira Woods, appeared by and through, attorney Lenore Albert, Esq. and the Defendant,
24 Regents of the University of California, appeared by and through, attorneys Steve Davis, Esq. and
25 Diane Daly, Esq.

26 A jury of 12 persons was regularly impaneled and sworn along with 2 alternate jurors.
27 Witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was
28 duly instructed by the court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to return a verdict
on special jury verdict forms. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned into court with its special

I
JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT

Dr. Nira Woods v. The Regents of the University of California

YC 006972

1 verdict finding Defendant, Regents of the University of California, liable for Fraud under the theory of
2 Intentional Misrepresentation, as follows:

3 We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

4 **SECTION A:**

5 1. Did the Regents of the University of California make a false representation to Dr. Nira
6 Woods on May 7, 2011 with regard to the UCLA Health System Permission for Autopsy form?

7 Yes No

8
9 If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here,
10 answer no further questions in **SECTION A** and go to **SECTION B**.

11 2. Did Regents of the University of California know either (1) that the representation was
12 false, or (2) did it make the representation recklessly and without regard for its truth?

13 Yes No

14
15 If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop here,
16 answer no further questions in **SECTION A** and go to **SECTION B**.

17 3. Did Regents of the University of California intend that Dr. Nira Woods rely on the
18 representation?

19 Yes No

20
21 If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop here,
22 answer no further questions in **SECTION A** and go to **SECTION B**.

23 4. Did Dr. Nira Woods reasonably rely on the representation?

24 Yes No

25
26 If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here,
27 answer no further questions in **SECTION A** and go to **SECTION B**.

1 5. Was Dr. Nira Woods' reliance on the Regents of the University of California's
2 representation, a substantial factor in causing harm to Dr. Nira Woods?

3 Yes ✓ No _____

4
5 If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 12 in SECTION C below. If you
6 answered no, stop here, answer no further questions in SECTION A and go to SECTION B.

7 **SECTION C:**

8 12. What are Dr. Nira Wood's damages?

9		
10	a. Past noneconomic loss, including	
11	mental suffering, anxiety, humiliation	
12	and emotional distress	\$118,500.00
13	b. Future noneconomic loss, including	
14	mental suffering, anxiety, humiliation	
15	and emotional distress	\$ 18,250.00
16	TOTAL:	\$ 136,750.00
17		


18 It appearing by reason of said verdict that Plaintiff Dr. Nira Woods is entitled to judgment
19 against Defendant Regents of the University of California based on Fraud.

20 **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that said Plaintiff
21 Dr. Nira Woods have and recover from said Defendant Regents of the University of California the sum
22 of \$136,750.00 with interest thereon at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum from the date of the
23 entry of this judgment until paid, together with costs.

On the cause of action for invasion of privacy, judgment is entered in favor of defendant. Pursuant to Government Code Section 68637(c), plaintiff is ordered to reimburse the court out of any recovery received for fees and costs personally waived in the sum of \$3314.50.

24 Dated: 10/16/15

25
26 *costs personally*
27 *waved in the*
28 *sum of \$3314.50.*


HON. STUART RICE
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

1 PROOF OF SERVICE

2
3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE:

4 I declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; that I am employed in
5 Orange County, California; my business address is 7755 Center Avenue Suite #1100, Huntington Beach,
6 CA 92647.

7 On October 2, 2015, I served a copy of the following document(s) described as:

8 **JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT**

9 On the interested parties in this action as follows:

10 Steve Davis, Esq.
11 Diane M. Daly, Esq. SBN 149574 DMD@gdlp.com
12 1448 15th Street, Suite 200
13 Santa Monica, CA 90404-2153
14 Ph: 310-394-9887
15 Fax: 310-395-4023
16 Attorneys for Defendant Regents of the University of California, Dr. David T. Feinberg

17 **BY US MAIL** – I caused such document(s) to be placed in pre-addressed envelope(s) with postage
18 thereon fully prepaid and sealed, to be deposited as regular US Mail at Huntington Beach, California, to
19 the aforementioned addressee(s).


20 **PERSONAL DELIVERY** – I caused such document(s) to be placed in an envelope and hand
21 delivered to the partie(s) at the hearing to the parties who attend.



22 **BY EMAIL** – I caused such document(s) to be transmitted to the office(s) of the addressee(s)
23 listed above by electronic mail at the e-mail address(es) set forth herein.

24 **BY FAX** – I caused such document(s) to be transmitted facsimile from the offices located in
25 Westminster, California this business day to the aforementioned recipients.

26 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States
27 of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

28 Dated: October 2, 2015

/s/ Lenore Albert 
Lenore Albert

I CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE, CORRECT AND COMPLETE
COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT(S).
DATED: **OCT 22 2015**
SHERIFF R. CARTER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK
SUPERIOR COURT SOUTHWEST DISTRICT,
TORRANCE COURTHOUSE
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BY  DEPUTY

C. LAM